

Rating Action: Moody's Ratings takes rating actions on 17 Italian financial institutions

25 Nov 2025

Action follows upgrade of the Government of Italy's rating to Baa2 from Baa3, with stable outlook

Paris, November 25, 2025 -- Moody's Ratings (Moody's) has today taken rating actions on all the ratings and assessments of 17 Italian financial institutions. The rating actions were prompted by the upgrade of the Government of Italy's rating to Baa2 from Baa3, with the outlook changed to stable from positive.

For further information on the sovereign rating action, please refer to our press release dated 21 November 2025 ("Moody's Ratings upgrades Italy's ratings to Baa2, changes outlook to stable"; https://ratings.moodys.com/ratings-news/455042).

All other Italian banks' ratings and assessments not mentioned in this press release, were unaffected by this rating action.

Italy's Macro Profile remains at "Strong", following its upgrade from "Strong-" in May 2025 (https://www.moodys.com/research/Banking-Italy-Macro-profile-Strong-Sector-Profile--PBC_1450058). This reflects a continued supportive operating environment, and favorable credit and funding conditions for Italian banks.

Please click on this link https://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_ARFTL516264 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and identifies each affected issuer.

RATINGS RATIONALE

==== BANK-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

UNICREDIT S.P.A. (UNICREDIT)

The upgrade of UniCredit's Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) to baa2 from baa3 reflects the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which is still capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade of UniCredit's BCA also reflects the bank's resilient solvency and the benefits to UniCredit's credit profile stemming from its diversified business mix and leading franchises principally in developed and mature European economies.

While UniCredit's current creditworthiness points to a higher standalone financial profile, under our Banks methodology we typically do not assign a BCA higher than the long-term rating of the sovereign country within which its main activities are located.

The upgrade of UniCredit's long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings to A3 from Baa1 reflects: (1) the upgrade of UniCredit's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis, which leads to two notches of uplift; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a moderate probability of government support, which results in no further uplift because the bank's long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings, before government support, already exceed the Government of Italy's rating by two notches.

INTESA SANPAOLO S.P.A. (INTESA SANPAOLO)

The upgrade of Intesa Sanpaolo's BCA to baa2 from baa3 reflects the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which

is still capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade of Intesa Sanpaolo's BCA is further supported by its leading domestic franchise as Italy's largest bank, alongside solid asset-risk metrics and diverse revenue sources that contribute to consistent and robust earnings. The BCA also considers the bank's sound capital position, low refinancing risk and a strong buffer of high-quality liquid assets.

While Intesa Sanpaolo's current creditworthiness points to a higher standalone financial profile, under our Banks methodology we typically do not assign a BCA higher than the long-term rating of the sovereign country within which its main activities are located.

The upgrade of Intesa Sanpaolo's long-term deposit, long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings to A3 from Baa1 reflects: (1) the upgrade of Intesa Sanpaolo's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which leads to two notches of uplift; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a moderate probability of government support, which results in no further uplift because the bank's long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings, before government support, already exceed the Government of Italy's rating by two notches.

BANCA MONTE DEI PASCHI DI SIENA S.P.A. (MPS)

The affirmation of MPS' ba1 BCA considers the acquisition of Mediobanca S.p.A. (Mediobanca), completed in September 2025, which has resulted in the creation of the country's third largest banking group. The bank's BCA reflects considerable execution risks associated with this major acquisition.

The BCA affirmation acknowledges MPS' overall stronger asset quality, greater scale, and more diversified revenue streams, which we expect from the new consolidated banking group, along with a robust capital. We also believe the bank has low refinancing risk and maintains a good buffer of high-quality liquid assets, partly reliant on short-term funding from the European Central Bank.

The affirmation of MPS' Baa1 long-term deposit ratings and Baa3 long-term senior unsecured debt rating reflects: (1) the affirmation of MPS' BCA at ba1, (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which also considers the combined liability structure with Mediobanca, leading to a three-notch uplift for the long-term deposit and one-notch uplift for the long-term senior unsecured debt ratings; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support which results in no further rating uplift.

MEDIOBANCA S.P.A. (MEDIOBANCA)

The affirmation of Mediobanca's ba1 BCA and Adjusted BCA reflects its acquisition by MPS which constrains its creditworthiness. The BCA also considers Mediobanca's asset concentration, balanced by sound capital, low refinancing risk and good buffer of high-quality liquid assets at the MPS group level.

The affirmation of Mediobanca's ba1 Adjusted BCA, reflects our unchanged assumption of a very high probability of support from MPS. However, this does not result in additional uplift because Mediobanca's BCA is already at the same level as that of its parent.

The affirmation of Mediobanca's Baa1 long-term deposit ratings, and Baa3 long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings reflects: (1) the affirmation of Mediobanca's ba1 Adjusted BCA; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which also considers the combined liability structure with MPS, leading to a three-notch uplift for the long-term deposit ratings, and one-notch uplift for the long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support which results in no further uplift.

BANCO BPM S.P.A. (BANCO BPM)

The upgrade of Banco BPM's BCA to baa2 from baa3 reflects the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which was capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade of Banco BPM's BCA also considers its well-established franchise in Italy, benefitting from improved asset quality, good revenue diversification and profitability. We also incorporate the bank's sound capital level, low refinancing risk and substantial high-quality liquid assets.

The upgrade of Banco BPM's long-term deposit to A3 from Baa1, its long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings to Baa1 from Baa2 reflects: (1) the upgrade of Banco BPM's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which leads to two notches of uplift for the long-term deposit ratings and a one-notch uplift for the long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings; and (3) our unchanged

assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

BPER BANCA S.P.A. (BPER)

The upgrade of BPER's BCA to baa2 from baa3 reflects the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which was capping the bank's BCA. The BCA upgrade is also supported by the bank's good asset quality, despite fast loan growth, and solid profitability and capital. We have also taken into account BPER's strong funding and liquidity profile, characterized by low refinancing risk and a substantial amount of high-quality liquid assets.

The BCA also considers the absorption plan of Banca Popolare di Sondrio S.p.A. announced on 5 November 2025 and more generally BPER's long-term growth strategy, both organically and through acquisitions, which involves some execution risks despite a good track record.

The upgrade of BPER's long-term deposit to A3 from Baa1, long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings to Baa2 from Baa3 reflects: (1) the upgrade of BPER's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which leads to two notches of uplift for the long-term deposit ratings and no uplift for the long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

CREDITO EMILIANO S.P.A. (CREDEM) AND CREDITO EMILIANO HOLDING S.P.A. (CREDEMHOLDING)

The upgrade of Credem's BCA to baa2 from baa3 is driven by the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which is still capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade also incorporates Credem's strong capital position, and the superior quality of the loan portfolio, owing to the consistent application of stringent underwriting standards. In addition, the upgrade considers Credem's large and granular deposit base, its relatively low refinancing risk, and its comfortable buffer of high-quality liquid assets.

While Credem's current creditworthiness points to a higher standalone financial profile, under our Banks methodology, we typically do not assign a BCA higher than the long-term rating of the sovereign country within which its main activities are located.

The upgrade of Credem's long-term deposit ratings to A3 from Baa1, its long-term senior unsecured debt rating to Baa2 from Baa3, and Credemholding's long-term issuer rating to Baa3 from Ba1 reflect: (1) the upgrade of Credem's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which results in a two-notch uplift for deposits, no uplift for senior unsecured debt, and one-notch negative adjustment for Credemholding's issuer rating; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

BANCA NAZIONALE DEL LAVORO S.P.A. (BNL)

The affirmation of BNL's ba1 BCA reflects the bank's modest solvency position, with adequate capital levels, but moderate asset risk and profitability. We consider BNL's financial profile to benefit from the strong oversight and support of its parent, BNP Paribas (BNPP; A1/A1 stable, baa1). BNL's BCA also incorporates its low refinancing risk despite higher reliance on more volatile corporate deposits balanced with a good buffer of high-quality liquid assets.

The affirmation of BNL's baa2 Adjusted BCA, reflects our unchanged assumption of a very high probability of support from its parent BNPP, resulting in two notches of uplift from the bank's BCA.

The upgrade of BNL's long-term deposit ratings to A3 from Baa1 and of the long-term issuer rating to Baa1 from Baa2 reflects: (1) the affirmation of BNL's Adjusted BCA at baa2; and (2) the result of our Advanced LGF Analysis, which now results in two notches of uplift for the deposit ratings from previously one, and one notch of uplift for the issuer rating from previously no uplift. The upgrade of the bank's long-term deposit ratings follows the one notch upgrade of Italy's rating, which has moved the sovereign constraint to A3. As per our Banks methodology long-term ratings are capped at two notches above the sovereign rating. The higher uplift for BNL's long-term issuer rating reflects the higher volume of loss-absorbing debt issued by the bank that results in a lower loss given failure.

BNL's long-term deposit and issuer ratings still reflect our assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

CREDIT AGRICOLE ITALIA S.P.A. (CA ITALIA)

The upgrade of CA Italia's BCA to baa2 from baa3 reflects the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which was capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade of the BCA also reflects the bank's sound solvency profile, underpinned by its good capitalization and robust funding profile, with a low refinancing risk.

The upgrade of CA Italia's Adjusted BCA to a3 from baa1 reflects the upgrade of the BCA and our unchanged assumption of a very high probability of support from its parent Credit Agricole S.A. (CASA, A1/A1 stable, baa2), resulting in two notches of uplift from the bank's BCA.

The upgrade of CA Italia's long-term deposit ratings to A3 from Baa1 reflects: (1) the upgrade of the bank's Adjusted BCA to a3 from baa1; (2) the outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis leading to no uplift from the Adjusted BCA, as these ratings are capped two notches above Italy's rating; and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support, leading to no additional uplift to the bank's ratings.

CA AUTO BANK S.P.A. (CA AUTO BANK)

The affirmation of CA Auto Bank's ba2 BCA reflects the bank's good asset risk and profitability metrics, as well as adequate capitalization, which is managed by its ultimate parent CASA. We also consider the bank's limited deposits franchise and its modest liquidity buffer, mitigated by the ongoing funding and liquidity support provided by its parent. The BCA is constrained by the bank's low level of business diversification.

The affirmation of the baa3 Adjusted BCA reflects our unchanged assessment of high probability of affiliate support in case of need from CASA, leading to two notches of uplift from the BCA.

The upgrade of CA Auto Bank's long-term deposit and issuer ratings to A3 from Baa1 reflects: (1) the affirmation of the bank's Adjusted BCA at baa3, (2) the outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis that now results in three notches of uplift for the long-term deposit and issuer ratings, from previously two notches of uplift respectively as the sovereign constraint has now been lifted after Italy's rating was upgraded, and (3) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

BANCA SELLA HOLDING S.P.A. (BANCA SELLA HOLDING) AND BANCA SELLA S.P.A. (BANCA SELLA)

The affirmation of Banca Sella's ba1 BCA reflects the bank's relatively small franchise, its focus on small and mid-size enterprises (SME) lending in Northwest Italy with moderate asset quality, and its modest levels of capital and profitability. The affirmation also takes into account the bank's sound funding and liquidity, supported by a low refinancing risk and a substantial buffer of high-quality liquid assets.

The upgrade of the long-term deposit ratings of Banca Sella and Banca Sella Holding's to Baa1 from Baa2 and the upgrade of Banca Sella Holding's long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings to Ba1 from Ba2 reflect: (1) the affirmation of Banca Sella's ba1 BCA; and (2) the outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which now results in three notches of uplift and no uplift from the bank's BCA, respectively, from two notches and one notch of negative adjustment previously. The higher uplift is a result of an increased volume of the bank's loss-absorbing instruments.

Banca Sella and Banca Sella Holding's long-term deposit and Banca Sella Holding's issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings still reflect our assumption of a low probability of government support, which results in no further uplift.

MEDIOCREDITO TRENTINO-ALTO ADIGE S.P.A. (MEDIOCREDITO)

The affirmation of Mediocredito's baa3 BCA highlights the bank's good asset quality, solid capital base, and modest profitability. The BCA is also supported by the bank's low refinancing risk, owing to the funding raised from its shareholders' mutual banks, and a significant amount of high-quality liquid assets. These strengths are balanced against the bank's low business and geographical diversification.

The affirmation of Mediocredito's Baa1 long-term deposit and Ba1 long-term issuer ratings reflects: (1) the affirmation of the bank's baa3 BCA; (2) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis resulting in two notches of uplift and one notch negative adjustment from the BCA, respectively; (3) our unchanged assumption of low government support which results in no further rating uplift.

CASSA CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN S.P.A. (CC RAIFFEISEN)

The upgrade of CC Raiffeisen's BCA to baa2 from baa3 is driven by the upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating, which was capping the bank's BCA. The upgrade also reflects CC Raiffeisen's role as the central treasury for 39 cooperative banks in Northern Italy, its very strong capital position, and its improved liquidity profile, supported by a substantial buffer of high-quality liquid assets at the network level. The upgrade further considers the network's large retail deposit base, as well as the bank's moderate asset quality and limited geographical diversification.

The upgrade of CC Raiffeisen's long-term deposit ratings, senior unsecured debt and long-term issuer ratings to A3 from Baa1 reflects: (1) the upgrade of the bank's BCA to baa2 from baa3; (2) a very high probability of affiliate support from the cooperatives integrated into the Institutional Protection Scheme (IPS), which, nevertheless, translates into no uplift from CC Raiffeisen's BCA; (3) the unchanged outcome of our Advanced LGF analysis, which provides a three-notch uplift for deposits, which however are constrained at two notches above the Government of Italy's rating, and a two-notch uplift for senior unsecured and issuer ratings; and (4) our unchanged assumption of a low probability of government support, leading to no additional uplift to the bank's ratings.

CASSA DEPOSITI E PRESTITI S.P.A. (CDP) AND INVITALIA S.P.A. (INVITALIA)

The upgrade of CDP's and Invitalia's long-term issuer ratings to Baa2 from Baa3 reflects the upgrade of the Government of Italy's sovereign rating to Baa2 from Baa3. Both institutions have public mandates in support of the Italian government's policies and the domestic public sector, which results in a very strong level of integration between them and the Italian government.

==== OUTLOOKS

The stable outlooks on CDP and Invitalia reflect the stable outlook on Italy's sovereign rating.

The stable outlooks on the long-term deposit ratings and/or long-term senior unsecured debt ratings and long-term issuer ratings (where applicable) of all the banks except MPS and Mediobanca reflect the stable outlook on Italy, as well as our expectation that the banks' financial profiles and liability structures will remain broadly unchanged over the next 12 to 18 months.

The stable outlooks on UniCredit's long-term deposit and senior unsecured ratings are driven by the stable outlook on Italy despite the upside upward pressure on its standalone creditworthiness, contingent on the closing of the acquisition of Commerzbank AG (Commerzbank, Aa3/ A1 stable, baa1). We will assess the potential for UniCredit's BCA to be upgraded one notch above Italy's sovereign rating, based on the combined group's degree of international diversification, including as well UniCredit's increased stake in Alpha Bank S.A. (Alpha Bank, Baa1/Baa2 stable, baa3), its exposure to Italian sovereign risk, and its post-acquisition capitalization, asset risk, funding and liquidity. Under our Banks methodology, long-term ratings are constrained at two notches above the domestic sovereign rating, therefore any upgrade of UniCredit's BCA would not result in an upgrade of these ratings.

The outlooks on MPS and Mediobanca long-term deposit, issuer and senior unsecured ratings (where applicable) remain positive and reflect our expectation that MPS's improved financial performance will continue as it integrates with Mediobanca, assuming there are no significant disruptions. The positive outlooks on the long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings (where applicable) also reflect our expectation that the combined entity will likely experience lower loss-given failure according to our LGF analysis.

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

CDP's and Invitalia's long-term issuer ratings would be upgraded if Italy's rating is upgraded, as their ratings are aligned with their parent.

The long-term ratings of all Italian banks could be upgraded if their standalone BCAs were upgraded, provided these long-term ratings do not exceed the constraint of two notches above Italy's rating.

Banks' BCAs could be upgraded as a result of a material improvement of their financial fundamentals beyond our expectations. The BCAs of UniCredit, Intesa Sanpaolo, and Credem are currently constrained by Italy's Baa2 sovereign debt rating, therefore they would likely be upgraded only in case of an upgrade of Italy's rating

provided that their creditworthiness does not deteriorate.

UniCredit's BCA could also be upgraded in the event of the bank acquiring Commerzbank. An upgrade of UniCredit's BCA is also predicated on the bank's ability to contain the execution and operational risks that may arise from a large-scale cross-border acquisition.

MPS and Mediobanca's long-term deposit ratings may be upgraded if their BCAs improve. This could happen if the new group successfully integrates Mediobanca, reducing execution risks and maintaining solid financial performance. Additionally, MPS and Mediobanca's long-term issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings (where applicable) could be upgraded if the MPS group issues more loss-absorbing debt instruments.

The bank's debt and deposit ratings are linked to the standalone BCA; therefore, downward changes to the BCA could also affect these ratings. The BCAs of all Italian banks could be downgraded if their creditworthiness deteriorates substantially from current expected levels.

CDP's and Invitalia's long-term issuer ratings would be downgraded if the Government of Italy's rating is downgraded, as their ratings are aligned with their parent.

The banks' deposit, senior unsecured debt and long-term issuer ratings (where applicable) could also experience upward or downward pressure from changes in their liability structure, which could affect the expected loss faced by these liabilities in a resolution scenario.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGIES

The principal methodology used in rating Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena S.p.A., Banca Nazionale Del Lavoro S.p.A., Banca Sella S.p.A., Banca Sella Holding S.p.A., Banco BPM S.p.A., BPER Banca S.p.A., CA Auto Bank S.p.A., Irish Branch, CA Auto Finance Suisse SA, Cassa Centrale Raiffeisen S.p.A., Credit Agricole Italia S.p.A., Credito Emiliano Holding S.p.A., Credito Emiliano S.p.A., Intesa Bank Ireland p.l.c., Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Ireland p.l.c., Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Luxembourg S.A., Intesa Sanpaolo Funding LLC, INTESA SANPAOLO S.P.A., Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A., Hong Kong Branch, Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A., London Branch, Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A., New York Branch, Mediobanca International (Luxembourg) SA, Mediobanca S.p.A., Mediocredito Trentino-Alto Adige S.p.A., Sanpaolo IMI S.p.A., UniCredit Delaware Inc., UniCredit S.p.A., UniCredit S.p.A., London Branch and UniCredit S.p.A., New York Branch was Banks published in November 2025 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/454566. The principal methodology used in rating Cassa Depositi e Prestiti S.p.A. and Invitalia S.p.A. was Government-related Issuers published in May 2025 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/443641. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/443641. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/443641. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/443641. Alternatively please see the Rating

For Intesa Sanpaolo, Banco BPM, CA Italia, Banca Sella, CDP and Invitalia the net effect of any adjustments applied to rating factor scores or scorecard outputs under the primary methodology(ies), if any, was not material to the ratings addressed in this announcement.

UniCredit's "Assigned BCA" of baa2 is set two notches below the "Financial Profile" initial score of a3 to reflect the expected capital deployment and the sovereign constraint applied to the BCA.

MPS' "Assigned BCA" of ba1 is set four notches below the initial "Financial Profile" scores of a3. This is reflecting our proforma assessment of the combined group's financial fundamentals, combined with the incorporation of our qualitative assessment of execution risks due to the acquisition of Mediobanca.

Mediobanca's "Assigned BCA" of ba1 is set four notches below the initial "Financial Profile" scores of a3. This mainly reflects the bank asset concentration as well as constraints and execution risks arising from the acquisition by MPS.

Credem's "Assigned BCA" of baa2 is set two notches below the "Financial Profile" Initial score of a3 to reflect its structural moderate efficiency, as well as the sovereign constraint applied to the BCA.

BPER's "Assigned BCA" of baa2 is set two notches below the initial "Financial Profile" scores of a3 primarily due to the execution risks associated with the bank's long-term growth strategy.

CC Raiffeisen's "Assigned BCA" of baa2 is set two notches below the "Financial Profile" Initial score of a3 to reflect its narrow geographical footprint and high concentration to SMEs, as well as the sovereign constraint

applied to the BCA.

BNL's "Assigned BCA" of ba1 is set two notches below the "Financial Profile" Initial score of baa2 to reflect the high concentration into corporate loans and modest capitalization that is managed at the parent level.

CA Auto bank's "Assigned BCA" of ba2 is set three notches below the "Financial Profile" Initial score of baa2 to reflect its low level of business diversification and limited deposits franchise.

Mediocredito's "Assigned BCA" of baa3 is set three notches below the "Financial Profile" Initial score of a3 to reflect its limited business and geographical diversification and large exposure to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs).

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The List of Affected Credit Ratings announced here are a mix of solicited and unsolicited credit ratings. For additional information, please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website https://ratings.moodys.com. Additionally, the List of Affected Credit Ratings includes additional disclosures that vary with regard to some of the ratings. Please click on this link https://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_ARFTL516264 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and provides, for each of the credit ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the following items:

- EU Endorsement Status
- UK Endorsement Status
- Rating Solicitation
- Issuer Participation
- Participation: Access to Management
- Participation: Access to Internal Documents
- Lead Analyst
- Releasing Office

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found on https://ratings.moodys.com/rating-definitions.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support/credit substitution from another entity or entities subject to a credit rating action (the supporting entity), and whose ratings may change as a result of a credit rating action as to the supporting entity, the associated regulatory disclosures will relate to the supporting entity. Exceptions to this approach may be applicable in certain jurisdictions.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security, certain regulatory disclosures applicable to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, or security, or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings, in accordance with Moody's rating practices, can be found in the most recent Credit Rating Announcement related to the same class of Credit Rating.

For provisional ratings, the Credit Rating Announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating.

Moody's does not always publish a separate Credit Rating Announcement for each Credit Rating assigned in the Anticipated Ratings Process or Subsequent Ratings Process.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related

rating outlook or rating review.

The below contact information is provided for information purposes only. For disclosures on the lead rating analyst and the Moody's legal entity that issued the rating, please see the issuer/deal page on https://ratings.moodys.com for each of the ratings covered.

Please see https://ratings.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the issuer/deal page on https://ratings.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Fabio Ianno VP - Senior Credit Officer

Maria Jose Mori Senior Vice President

Releasing Office: Moody's France SAS 21 Boulevard Haussmann Paris, 75009 France

JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

© 2025 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "MATERIALS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S MATERIALS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S MATERIALS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE ITS MATERIALS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED

FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR MATERIALS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. FOR CLARITY, NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE USED TO DEVELOP, IMPROVE, TRAIN OR RETRAIN ANY SOFTWARE PROGRAM OR DATABASE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FOR ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING OR NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING SOFTWARE, ALGORITHM, METHODOLOGY AND/OR MODEL.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating process or in preparing its Materials.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. MCO and all MCO entities that issue ratings under the "Moody's Ratings" brand name ("Moody's Ratings"), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Ratings' credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's

Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at ir.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Charter and Governance Documents - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's SF Japan K.K., Moody's Local AR Agente de Calificación de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local BR Agência de Classificação de Risco LTDA, Moody's Local MX S.A. de C.V, I.C.V., Moody's Local PE Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A., and Moody's Local PA Calificadora de Riesgo S.A. (collectively, the "Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs") are all indirectly wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiaries of MCO. None of the Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs is a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for India only: Moody's credit ratings, Assessments, other opinions and Materials are not intended to be and shall not be relied upon or used by any users located in India in relation to securities listed or proposed to be listed on Indian stock exchanges.

Additional terms with respect to Second Party Opinions and Net Zero Assessments (as defined in Moody's Ratings Rating Symbols and Definitions): Please note that neither a Second Party Opinion ("SPO") nor a Net Zero Assessment ("NZA") is a "credit rating". The issuance of SPOs and NZAs is not a regulated activity in many jurisdictions, including Singapore. JAPAN: In Japan, development and provision of SPOs and NZAs fall under the category of "Ancillary Businesses", not "Credit Rating Business", and are not subject to the regulations applicable to "Credit Rating Business" under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and its relevant regulation. PRC: Any SPO: (1) does not constitute a PRC Green Bond Assessment as defined under any relevant PRC laws or regulations; (2) cannot be included in any registration statement, offering circular, prospectus or any other documents submitted to the PRC regulatory authorities or otherwise used to satisfy any PRC regulatory disclosure requirement; and (3) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this disclaimer, "PRC" refers to the mainland of the People's Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.